



Grand Jurors' Journal

Vol. 6 No 5 • Newsletter of the California Grand Jurors' Association October, 2005

President's Message	1
Vice President Betty J. Mattea Reports	2
Summary of Board Actions	2
Legal	3
Membership Chapter/ Relation	3
Operations	4
Training	4
Solano Chapter	6
San Francisco Chapter	7
Dangerous times for freedom	7
Disaster preparations	8
Juries in the news	9
Manual Revision Committee	10
Thank You	10
Standardized Format For Submitting Articles To The Grand Jurors' Journal	11
2005 CGJA Conference Program	11

President's Message

By Jerry Lewi

President, California Grand Jurors' Association

Vice-president Betty Mattea's message (below) tells of my problems with the recent fire. Turned out I really didn't have a problem even though flames were within 1/2 mile of my home. But it

always makes for an interesting day. The fire fighting team throughout this entire Topanga Fire performed incredibly. It occurred to me after the recent Gulf Coast hurricanes, that I surmise a number of Grand Juries may be examining the disaster preparation capabilities in their counties. Probably not a bad idea, but it does appear that Los Angeles and Ventura Counties have their act together at least for fire. Their unified command structure worked very well and might well be a model for our federal government.

In addition to Betty's comments (thanks for pitching in, Betty) about the forthcoming conference, I want to mention that on Friday morning, we will have a session on Chapter Relations also very important. I visited the San Mateo and San Francisco Chapters last week, thus hitting seven out of ten so far toward my goal of meeting with all. I continue to find every Chapter different and hope this session will provide a good set of "best practices" that would help all chapters.

We are also thinking about providing an opportunity to talk to members who do not have the benefit of a local chapter (that includes me!) and how you can be an effective member within your community. I also want to find a way to reach out to those members in rural counties where, I have heard, the problems of Grand Jury Service are considerably different than in urban counties.

My thanks to Betty Mattea and her colleagues on the Nominating Committee for putting out, first a call for nominations, and then a ballot. By the time you get this, the deadline for mailing in your ballot may have passed. But if not, please be sure to vote! Based on Directors choosing not to run again, we will have a minimum of four new Directors. While losing experienced people is regrettable, new blood can be very invigorating, so regardless of the outcome, I believe we will have an outstanding Board of Directors this coming year.

Vice President Betty J. Mattea Reports

Our president, Jerry Lewi, who usually is heard (or read) in this column, is on evacuation watch because of the fire in Los Angeles. We are all hoping that the order to evacuate doesn't come, Jerry and that you and your home will be saved.

I will remind you of the upcoming annual conference of the CGJA and extend an invitation to you to come and renew old acquaintances and make new ones. There will be a two-hour Open Forum Thursday afternoon, with Q & A for all CGJA directors. If you have questions of the directors, we will have answers for you.

There will be awards, announcements and reports from our chapters, in short, a lot of communication amongst all those interested in CGJA. I look forward to seeing you there.

"There" is the Hilton Burbank Airport and Convention Center and when is October 27-28, 2005. The directors will continue to meet from early afternoon of the 28th through mid-day Saturday, October 29th, with the exception of an executive session, which may or may not occur, the meetings are open to CGJA members.

This will be the 24th Annual California Grand Jurors' Association Conference and I hope you will attend. Who knows what you see and get involved in, may make you decide to run for the board next year!

Summary of Board Actions

The CGJA Board of Directors took the following actions at the July 21, regular and August 16, 2005, special Board meetings.

July 21, 2005

Director Heal's motion to amend minutes, of May 16, 2005, and include his memo entitled

CGJA Board Dysfunction provided to Directors prior to the May meeting in Vacaville failed by a roll call vote:

In an Executive session the Board approved to seek the opinion of Keith McBride regarding this potential litigation; followed by a teleconference.

The motion to accept that each Director complete the Board Assessment Questionnaire included in the Agenda packet and send anonymously to Earl Heal no later than 8/15/05 for collation of the data was passed by voice vote.

The motion to engage a certified parliamentarian to present a workshop on the fundamental concepts of parliamentary procedure and Board governance at the October Conference retreat (cost approximately \$200) was passed by voice vote.

The motion to create an orientation program for new Directors incorporating parts of the proposed Directors' Handbook was passed by voice vote.

The motion to approve a \$200 expenditure to produce 25 Director's handbooks was passed by voice vote.

Special Board Meeting, August 16, 2005

A motion that this meeting proceed as a legally constituted meeting was passed by a roll call vote.

The following Action was taken during the Executive Session: (1) a mailing using the language in the Grimm letter will be sent to CGJA members from 6/3/03 to the present; (2) after initial dialogue/opinion from an insurance broker and other consultants, will send a letter to the appropriate insurance companies re D&O application; (3) payment of reasonable, verified legal fees; and (4) execution of a mutual Section 1542 general release.

A motion to: nominees to be advised that we do not carry D&O insurance at this time and inform re the statute that refers to volunteer directors and their liability and do this in a letter that describes the responsibilities and rights of the directors. The letter will also mention the possibility of individuals obtaining an umbrella policy was passed by voice vote.

Legal

Jack Zepp, Chair

No report was submitted by the Legal Committee

Membership Chapter/ Relation

Michael Miller, Acting Chair

Dear Fellow CGJA

Members, I need your help. The Pooles managed to almost double our Membership in the few short years Clif was Chair. The Chair before him was lucky to if he could report "about two hundred in Membership" in the eight years he ran it. So in order to keep the momentum moving, I would like it if each of you would start a "telephone tree" of those that served on your Grand Jury and try to interest them in joining the CGJA.

I would suggest contacting the brightest and most congenial, the ones that never created disturbance during their term. But our problem is we have so many of that type as members now, we need members with new zeal. So those controversial and argumentative members that served with you are the ones we are really after. Our Committee and Board Meetings can be spiced up with some drama and unique ideas. The status quo is good, but something new like a heated debate over current topics would be much better. Actually we could review with them our background, and let them fight over old issues too. The bottom line is please help recruit new

members, and if they are just like you, all the better.

Tom Hansen thanks for doing such a great job with the upkeep of the membership data. If you play your cards right, a lifetime contract might just have your name on it!

Operations

Les Daye, Chair

The 24th annual CGJA conference on October 27-28 is this month's feature event. Attendees in Burbank can expect insightful and vigorous discussion of grand jury issues during the two hour Open Forum on Thursday afternoon. Make your plans to be at the Hilton for interaction to the nth degree. Check the CGJA website, <www.cgja.org> for the latest information.

The CGJA Operations Committee announced the annual report of its GRIP subcommittee has been filed. Two of the most noteworthy findings...the safekeeping of Final Reports back to the 1950's by the Superior Court in Tulare County (including duplicates sent on to the State Archives in Sacramento) and the existence of records from Klamath County, CA during the years 1851-1875? If the sleuth is in you, please contact Les Daye, Grand Jury Reports Indexing Project (GRIP) lead during the conference or 530.778.3368, or online at bearpaws@sunset.net after the conference. Your participation is sought and others can attest that the search is fun and rewarding.

Now is definitely the time for current grand juries to be monitoring the responses to Final Reports from 2004-05.

Continuity is a critical function of civil grand juries in holding public officials accountable for good governance. A fully successful term of service as grand jurors includes ideas, strategies and actions gleaned from the work of predecessor grand juries. Read and use your Grand Jurors' Journal and your membership in CGJA to find prime examples of good works attributable to grand juries throughout California.

Training

Sherry Chesny, Chair

Spanning a period of 16 days CGJA conducted training for the new 2005-06 grand jurors at four regional two-day seminars in various sections of California plus a 1-day workshop for forepersons and pro tems. Providing these training programs is the largest undertaking by CGJA and is accomplished because of the many dedicated people who combine their efforts and talents. I would like to recognize these individuals.

The CGJA Training Committee is responsible for all aspects of planning and implementing all the CGJA training programs including selecting sites, producing the CGJA Training Manual which is the adopted curriculum for that year, developing the program for each site, the assignment of trainers, selecting guest speakers, and many other tasks. These hardworking members are: Lew Avera, Bob Geiss, Judi Lazenby, Duane Mason, Ron Miguel, Mike Miller, Sandy Rendell and Jack Zepp. They are a productive team and wonderful people to work with.

The guest presenters vary for each seminar site and are an important part of our training programs. We have so many wonderful, dedicated people, that this section needs to be covered separately in our next Journal article.

July 24, 2005 – The 1-day Foreperson Workshop was offered as part of the Sacramento Seminar. On the day prior to the 2-day regional training seminar, 45 forepersons and pro tems representing

30 counties attended the workshop. The interactive program with the jurors working in small groups was very productive.

Serving on the planning committee was Lew Avera (Orange Co.); Jack Cocchi (San Benito Co.); Bev Hill (Lake Co.); Ron Miguel (San Francisco Co.); Sandy Rendell (Los Angeles Co.); Harry Tully (Shasta Co.) and Jack Zepp (Orange Co.). Betty Mattea of Marin Co. chaired the committee. Jack Zepp, Sandy Rendell and Lew Avera served as presenters and/or moderators.

July 25-26, 2005- Sacramento 2-Day Training Seminar

Our Sacramento seminar continues to be the largest with 192 jurors from 23 counties.

The core program of five workshops was presented by:

G.J.'s and the Law - Jack Zepp and Lew Avera

G.J. Investigations - Ron Miguel

G.J. Interviewing - Sherry Chesny

Writing Final Reports - Bob Geiss

G.J. Continuity - Ted Freeman and Linda Pryor, one of our new trainees.

The 192 jurors were divided into five small groups of 38-40 and followed a rotation schedule among the five workshops. This requires each trainer to repeat their presentation five times, but they are willing to do so because of the advantages of teaching with smaller groups rather than giving a single presentation to 192.

The Nevada County Chapter of CGJA and the Placer County Grand Jurors' Association provided the volunteer help by assembling training manuals, helping with registration and staffing various duties during the seminar. Bill Burnett from the Nevada County Chapter and Tedd Schilling from the Placer County G.J. Assoc. coordinated the volunteers.

July 28-29, 2005 – Redding 2-Day Training Seminar

This was the second year of having a regional training seminar for the northern counties.

Redding experienced the highest increase in attendance, from 69 in 2004 to 94 in 2005.

The new jurors came from 12 counties.

Trainers for the five standard workshops were:

G.J.'s and the Law - Jack Zepp and Lew Avera

Investigations - Ron Miguel and Duane Mason, trainee

Interviewing - Marsha Caranci

Writing Final Reports - Diane Masini

G.J. Continuity - Dan Taranto

A group of former Shasta Co. grand jurors organized by Duane Mason and Marsha Caranci, assembled the training manuals, helped with registration and did an excellent job of providing the needed volunteer seminar staff.

August 1-2, 2005 - Walnut Creek 2-Day Training Seminar

Walnut Creek was a new location for our Bay Area seminar. Twelve counties sent a total of 109 jurors.

The trainers for the five core workshops were:

G.J.'s and the Law - Jack Zepp and Lew Avera

Investigations - Ron Miguel

Interviewing - Judi Lazenby

Writing Final Reports - Stuart Brown

G.J. Continuity - Ourania Riddle

The Solano County Chapter of CGJA, provided the volunteer staff under the leadership of their President, Wanda Kiger-Tucker. I want to especially thank John Woods, Joe Moore and Earl

Heal for their extra efforts in packing all the seminar equipment and supplies into my car at the end of the seminar. It was a challenging feat that required their combined talents and expertise.

August 8-9, 2005 – Visalia 2-Day Training Seminar

The Visalia Convention Center is an excellent facility for our southern region seminar, which was attended by 74 jurors from 12 different counties.

The trainers for the core program were:

1. G.J's and the Law - Jack Zepp and Lew Avera
2. Investigations - Dianne Hoffman and Ron Miguel

Interviewing - Barbara Dabul

Writing Final Reports - Bob Geiss

G.J. Continuity - Jerry Lewi

The Visalia Grand Juror's Association provided the volunteer staff. This group also collected various items and snacks and assembled goody bags which were very much appreciated by the jurors.

Last, but certainly not least, I want to recognize some individuals who provided their exceptional talents and time to help our training effort:

Ted Freeman (Marin Co.) who edited all the outlines in the Training Manual prior to publication.

Debbie Heller (Nevada Co.) who made all the Training Manual updates and corrections on the master disk

Mike Miller (San Mateo Co.) who assembled all the manuals for the Concord and Visalia Seminars plus coordinating the volunteers for these two events.

Diane Masini (Nevada Co.) who created the Seminar Registration data base sent confirmation notices and printed up the name tags and certificates of completions for all five training events.

Solano Chapter

Wanda Kiger-Tucker, President

The Solano County chapter of the California Grand Jury Association is pleased to report that this has been a rather eventful year as we concluded a project that we had been working on for over three years. We finally were able to meet with and convince our presiding judge and county administration that our county needed to change our jury selection process. Grand Jurors were nominated by elected officials and judges. In the late 90's our chapter recognized that the nomination method of selecting jurors was ineffective in garnering sufficient impartial jurors to serve our county. We seriously struggled to find jurors to compose our body each year and after meeting with our judge and court administration officer, we made the following recommendations:

That the court continue to use the nomination method as well as initiating the volunteer pool method to relieve the annual burden of finding sufficient jurors to serve on our Grand Juries;

That we continue to use prudent and stringent screening processes for applicants;

That the Court Administrators use whatever methods they deem feasible in implementing the new system (advertising, application forms, etc We are pleased to report that immediately after our February meeting, this system was implemented in time to be used for the 2005 jury pool selection process.

Our comprehensive study showed that of the 58 counties in the state of California, over 50% used the volunteer pool method and 31% were summoned from the petit jury roll (which was

ineffective because it gleaned a very small percentage of usable jurors.) Only 19% used the nominee pool method as did Solano County.

One activity this year was to attend the annual swearing in of new jurors where we made our presence known, handed out copies of the current Journal and extended our encouragement and told them what our association is all about. We offered our assistance if ever needed and urged them to join us whenever their service was over. This spring we hosted the all-county jurors picnic which was attended by approximately 35 people who represented about six different counties. The tri-tip BBQ was great and the weather was perfect. We also made arrangements for the Board's face to face conference which conveniently followed the picnic the next day. This past year we had three of our local chapter members serving on the state board of directors and want to extend our thanks to them for their dedication and effort. We hope that next year will see our small chapter grow as we continue to serve.

San Francisco Chapter

By Serena Bardell, Outreach chair

The most exciting recent event involving the San Francisco Chapter of CGJA was Jerry Lewi's visit. Several of us on the board participated in a question and answer session in a room at the Court Building then went on to dinner, where we were joined by other members of the chapter.

My SF colleagues and I learned a lot from the president and, I believe, he came away with a doggie bagful of food for further thought and research. Best of all was that we had a lot of fun.

I was surprised to learn that SF is unique in holding its regular grand jury meetings after working hours don't we all tend to assume that all juries do whatever it is our own did? and Jerry expressed surprise that SF followed that practice.

It seems to me that holding jury meetings during usual working hours creates a self-fulfilling prophecy: It's no wonder that almost all jurors statewide are retirees. On the 1996-97 jury, eight of nineteen members worked, all but one or two full time: a teacher, a legal assistant, a businessperson, and a handful of attorneys. This pattern has continued on subsequent SF juries I've been familiar with. There are many occupations with enough flexibility to permit motivated individuals to participate fully in grand jury committee work that has to take place during business hours; however, if the regular-weekly in SF -sessions also occur during the day, it puts too much of a burden on most working people.

Not just life experience but where one is in the journey influence perspective. I believe grand juries would benefit greatly from having members in various age groups, grappling with the challenges of their particular stage in life.

I would love to see in these pages the views of fellow-CGJA members in other counties on the subject of starting meetings at 5:30 PM. I realize there are large distances involved in many areas that are not an issue here in our geographically compact city and county; nonetheless, many might be willing to inconvenience themselves in order to increase the diversity of the jury pool, thereby, in my opinion, expanding the visibility and perhaps the influence of the grand jury in their communities.

I hope as many of you as possible get the opportunity to visit with the CGJA president; both the board and the general membership can only benefit from the experience.

Dangerous times for freedom

By Steve Phipps - CGJA member

Almost ten years ago, so swift the passage of time, I wrote (CGJA Journal Spring 1996), "Given the human desire for security and safety, it is not surprising that those employed by government now view their positions as crucial to the on-going operation of society--and it is not surprising that government workers and governmental organizations seek to protect themselves against criticism and scrutiny. But the government increasingly eludes us. What should and could be simply the methodology by which we exercise civilized behavior with each other and the environment has become an institution whose aims--unlike the mercenary aims of business--are contradictory and uncertain, secretive and all-too-often aimed at enhancing political power. I do not want to appear cynical but babble has become the current method of moving along in this real world, and we have created a government in which the meaningless has achieved high art. Only a society with our sense of humor could have developed "spin doctors" and only America could have turned spin doctoring into a legitimate vocation..." In that essay I asked [by implication] whether the grand jury should aid government in improving itself to accomplish its purposes, or whether the grand jury should examine the necessity for certain governmental functions and whether the purpose of those functions to promote human freedom--or to promote something else? In the ensuing ten years, after an historic contested national election, 9-11, Afghanistan, the Patriot Act, Iraq, Katrina I believe it even more important for local California grand juries to address the question I ask above. Clearly a dichotomy has arisen between citizens, and clearly more and more people are willing to give up certain freedoms in the furtherance of what they perceive as security. Thus I suggest as a sort of "thought experiment" the following: Suppose your committee shows up at the sheriff's office, properly identified as grand jury members, and wants to inspect the office. Suppose the officer on duty insists you first pass through a metal detector (or undergo a body search) before entry into the "restricted area." Suppose further that armed officers routinely enter without being searched. What, as a free citizen of a free country, is your response? Do you object to the search? Are you as a citizen intrinsically untrustworthy? It is perhaps true that you have not undergone a background check, but the sheriff, an elected official, will not have undergone a background check either. And he passes through freely. Would you think it a good idea if police sometimes momentarily stopped you on the sidewalk and performed a quick pat-down search for weapons of WMD or guns or whatever. Wouldn't the world be a safer place if the police did this? Shouldn't you, as a member of the grand jury inspecting the police department note with distress that certain doors weren't secured from the general public. Shouldn't you recommend that the police get better training in how to spot evil-doers? I believe these are dangerous times for freedom. Too many of us think government exists to protect and promote business in all its aspects and have thus allowed it to give away our natural resources to scoundrels. Too many of us think government exists to protect the individual from harm--and thus let it mandate helmets when riding a bicycle and studs every sixteen inches when building a house. But once upon a time a free people thought that they themselves created government only so that they could act freely. I want the California grand juror to stop trying to make government "better" and start wondering just why we should be so over-governed and over-spun as we are.

Disaster preparations

By Mickey Strang - CGJA member

As a member of either a grand jury or a grand jury association, you can provide a major public service by examining disaster preparations in your county, at least once, and, better yet, annually. California's spectacular range of environments means county emergency operations plans need to consider not only earthquake but also volcanoes; snow, wind, rain and sandstorms (sometimes in the same county, in fact); floods; fires; and tsunamis. Add to those natural disasters the potential for a pandemic such as avian flu - and the much-touted potential for terrorism. All of these create a need to develop a solid emergency response and to keep it updated.

Humboldt and Los Angeles counties are rated as the two counties with the most disasters of any in the United States. Their disaster plans are exercised enough to be current, but some other counties in the state may have been fortunate enough not to have to use such plans for years. Not used is apt to mean not updated realistically or regularly.

The range of professional expertise of the average grand jury's members or those of grand jury association members ensures that the variety of aspects of a disaster plan will be examined. And, who knows? The life saved by having an updated county emergency operations plan may well be your own.

Juries in the news

North County Times

District says grand jury biased, wrong

By: JENNIFER KABBANY - Staff Writer

TEMECULA ---- The Temecula school district is calling a former member of a Riverside County civil grand jury biased and is describing a report released by the jury in July as tainted and wrong.

"There was a strong element of bias and retaliation in the grand jury's investigation," states a document released Friday by the Temecula Valley Unified School District. "Neither the findings nor the recommendations of the grand jury substantiate any wrongdoing or unlawful conduct."

The document is a response to the county's civil grand jury report in July that chided the district for improper personnel practices and a failure to comply with some state policies and laws. The Board of Trustees will vote on whether to approve the response.

The civil grand jury, which consists of 19 county residents serving one-year terms, is mainly responsible for oversight of county governments. It is not a criminal investigatory body and limits its investigation to possible charges of malfeasance or illegal activities by public officials, according to county documents.

It is very typical for public agencies to respond to grand jury findings. In those responses, they either agree, disagree or partially agree with the jury's report. In Temecula's case, the district disagrees with five of seven findings, and partially disagrees with the other two.

The district's response also accuses the grand jury of having a biased member on its panel and states the jury's report stems from a disciplinary action the district took against a senior level employee a few years ago.

"It just seems like an awful waste of time and resources over a two-year period to come up with absolutely no results," board President Bob Brown said Friday. "It was a witch hunt from the very beginning. I think it was biased."

In 2003, Kemp-Cole and others who testified during her 11-day dismissal case said her termination was the outcome of her "blowing the whistle" on top school officials. Kemp-Cole has filed a lawsuit against the district that has yet to be resolved. District officials, in their response to the grand jury report, said she was fired after a fair process that included an independent hearing officer. They also state that they have learned that a current district employee and friend of Kemp-Cole's has a relative on the grand jury "who played a prominent role in the questioning of district board members and senior district employees." The response also does not name the juror it accuses of bias. "It is readily apparent from the extensive scope and tenor of the questioning, and the tone and lack of substance of the findings of the grand jury, that a strong retaliatory element exists in this process," the district states in its response. "The board is dismayed and disappointed that the grand jury investigative process has been used in this fashion."

County court officials who oversee the grand jury did not return phone calls seeking comment on the allegation Friday

As for other jury findings, one had stated that district employees accessed "inappropriate material" on company computers. The district doesn't deny this allegation, but states that each instance was investigated, employees were disciplined and the cases were reported to law enforcement.

The district also does not deny the jury's finding that an employee used petty cash for personal business, but stated that the district took corrective action in that case.

As for other findings, the jury's report mainly took aim at the school board, not district officials, noting that trustees described the district's operations as "the way we do things around here." In response, the district states that employees and trustees follow state and federal law and board policy and district officials "fail to understand" the jury's criticism. The jury's report had also chided the board for failing to update policies and procedures that would prohibit retaliation and intimidation by administrators when an employee files a grievance. To that, district officials state in their response that they updated their board policy on school and workplace harassment in 2003 and the accusation was "unspecific and unsupported."

As to the jury's allegation that trustees do not fully understand the California Public Records Act, which requires public agencies to make a host of district documents available upon request to the public, the district response states that they are fully aware of the law and comply with it "The grand jury report fails to cite a single specific instance of the district's failure to comply with the public records act," the district's response states.

Manual Revision Committee

By Director Earl Heal

The Board of Directors, at the September meeting, approved the draft version of the Grand Jurors' Manual submitted by the Manual Revision Committee. Publication is being coordinated and will hopefully be ready for distribution in late October. Copies will be distributed to each county grand jury for their reference file and use while preparing their own unique procedural rules as specified in Penal Code 916. The Manual will be bound in loose leaf binders to permit page changes if that should become appropriate in the future. Copies will also be retained by the Publications subcommittee for unforeseen requirements.

Thank You

Thank you Robert Bentley, Jane Brady, Marcia Caranci, Noma Coony, Wayne Dexter, Roger Loper, Albert Lozano, Dian Wood Picone, Julie Headrick Pizzo, Jack Forrest Simpson, Patricia Yeomans, Florence Young for your donations totaling \$350.00

Standardized Format For Submitting Articles To The Grand Jurors' Journal

Articles should be e-mailed to: Ourania Riddle, Editor, at [<ouraniar@yahoo.com>](mailto:ouraniar@yahoo.com)

Articles submitted by e-mail should be saved in Rich Text Format and transmitted as an attachment to the e-mail message

E-mail attachments are the preferred form of transmittal to alleviate retyping article content.

If e-mail is not available, articles should be faxed to Ourania at 707.678.9468

Contributors submitting articles close to publication deadline should notify Editor as early as possible, with size of article to assist with page layout and format.

The Editor reserves the right to reject, edit or not print submitted articles.

The views expressed in the Grand Jurors' Journal are those of the writers, unless otherwise stated.

**2005 CGJA CONFERENCE PROGRAM
THURSDAY, OCTOBER 27, 2005**

09:00 A.M.	Registration	LA Chapter, CGJA
10:00	Welcome remarks, introduction of Directors,	Andrew Bliss
10:10	Welcome remarks, appointment of election tellers, approval of last year's minutes	Jerry Lewi
10:20	Welcome to City of Burbank	Mayor
10:30	Welcome remarks from Chairman of LA County Board of Supervisors	
10:40	Welcome from the Presiding Judge	
10:50	Culture & Diversity in a Local Police Department	Frank Wills, Police Chief West Covina, California
12:15 P.M.	Luncheon	
	Celebrity Criminal Defense	Thomas A. Mesereau Jr.
01:30	Break	
01:45	Open Forum	Jerry Lewi
03:45	Report to Membership	President & Committee Chairs
05:00	Adjourn afternoon session	
06:30 P.M	No-Host Reception	
		John Miller, Bureau Chief LAPD Counter-Terrorism
07:30	Dinner	
09:15	Announcements by the President and Introduction of Newly elected Directors	Jerry Lewi
09:30	Adjourn first day's session	

FRIDAY, OCTOBER 28, 2005

09:00 A.M.	Registration Continue	LA Chapter
09:30	Chapter Relations with CGJA	Jerry Lewi
11:00	Life after Grand Jury Service	Andrew Bliss, Moderator
12:00	Adjournment of 24th Annual CGJA Conference	